

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [9366](#)  
Updates: [3326](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: March 2023  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Author: R. Sparks

# RFC 9366

## Multiple SIP Reason Header Field Values

---

### Abstract

The SIP Reason header field as defined in RFC 3326 allows only one Reason value per protocol value. Experience with more recently defined protocols shows it is useful to allow multiple values with the same protocol value. This document updates RFC 3326 to allow multiple values for an indicated registered protocol when that protocol defines what the presence of multiple values means.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9366>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
- [2. Conventions](#)
- [3. Update to RFC 3326](#)
- [4. Security Considerations](#)
- [5. IANA Considerations](#)
- [6. References](#)
  - [6.1. Normative References](#)
  - [6.2. Informative References](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[Author's Address](#)

## 1. Introduction

The SIP Reason header field as defined in RFC 3326 allows only one Reason value per protocol value. Experience with more recently defined protocols shows it is useful to allow multiple values with the same protocol value [STIRREASONS]. This document updates RFC 3326 to allow multiple values for an indicated registered protocol when that protocol defines what the presence of multiple values means. It does not change the requirement in RFC 3326 restricting the header field contents to one value per protocol for those protocols that do not define what multiple values mean.

## 2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 3. Update to RFC 3326

The last paragraph of [Section 2](#) of [RFC3326] is replaced as follows:

OLD:

A SIP message **MAY** contain more than one Reason value (i.e., multiple Reason lines), but all of them **MUST** have different protocol values (e.g., one SIP and another Q.850). An implementation is free to ignore Reason values that it does not understand.

NEW:

A SIP message **MAY** contain more than one Reason value (i.e., multiple Reason lines). If the registered protocol for the Reason value specifies what it means for multiple values to occur in one message, more than one value for that protocol **MAY** be present. Otherwise, there **MUST** be only one value per protocol provided (e.g., one SIP and another Q.850). An implementation is free to ignore Reason values that it does not understand.

## 4. Security Considerations

This document adds no security considerations to the use of SIP. The security considerations in [RFC3326] and those in any registered protocols used in Reason header field values should be considered.

## 5. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3326] Schulzrinne, H., Oran, D., and G. Camarillo, "The Reason Header Field for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 3326, DOI 10.17487/RFC3326, December 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3326>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

### 6.2. Informative References

**[STIRREASONS]** Wendt, C., "Identity Header Errors Handling for STIR", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-stir-identity-header-errors-handling-08, 25 February 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-stir-identity-header-errors-handling-08>>.

## Acknowledgments

This text is based on discussions at a STIR Working Group interim meeting. Jean Mahoney and Russ Housley provided suggestions that vastly improved the first attempts at assembling these words. Christer Holmberg, Dale Worley, Brian Rosen, Chris Wendt, and Paul Kyzivat provided constructive discussion during SIPCORE Working Group adoption.

## Author's Address

**Robert Sparks**

Email: [rjsparks@nostrum.com](mailto:rjsparks@nostrum.com)