

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [9155](#)  
Updates: [5246](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: December 2021  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Authors: L. Velvindron    K. Moriarty    A. Ghedini  
          *cyberstorm.mu*    *CIS*    *Cloudflare Inc.*

# RFC 9155

## Deprecating MD5 and SHA-1 Signature Hashes in (D)TLS 1.2

---

### Abstract

The MD5 and SHA-1 hashing algorithms are increasingly vulnerable to attack, and this document deprecates their use in (D)TLS 1.2 digital signatures. However, this document does not deprecate SHA-1 with Hashed Message Authentication Code (HMAC), as used in record protection. This document updates RFC 5246.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9155>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
  - 1.1. Requirements Language
- 2. Signature Algorithms
- 3. Certificate Request
- 4. Server Key Exchange
- 5. Certificate Verify
- 6. IANA Considerations
- 7. Security Considerations
- 8. References
  - 8.1. Normative References
  - 8.2. Informative References
- Acknowledgements
- Authors' Addresses

## 1. Introduction

The usage of MD5 and SHA-1 for signature hashing in (D)TLS 1.2 is specified in [RFC5246]. MD5 and SHA-1 have been proven to be insecure, subject to collision attacks [Wang]. In 2011, [RFC6151] detailed the security considerations, including collision attacks for MD5. NIST formally deprecated use of SHA-1 in 2011 [NISTSP800-131A-R2] and disallowed its use for digital signatures at the end of 2013, based on both the attack described in [Wang] and the potential for brute-force attack. In 2016, researchers from the National Institute for Research in Digital Science and Technology (INRIA) identified a new class of transcript collision attacks on TLS (and other protocols) that relies on efficient collision-finding algorithms on the underlying hash constructions [Transcript-Collision]. Further, in 2017, researchers from Google and Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI) Amsterdam [SHA-1-Collision] proved SHA-1 collision attacks were practical. This document updates [RFC5246] in such a way that MD5 and SHA-1 **MUST NOT** be used

for digital signatures. However, this document does not deprecate SHA-1 with HMAC, as used in record protection. Note that the CA/Browser Forum (CABF) has also deprecated use of SHA-1 for use in certificate signatures [CABF].

## 1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2. Signature Algorithms

Clients **MUST** include the `signature_algorithms` extension. Clients **MUST NOT** include MD5 and SHA-1 in this extension.

## 3. Certificate Request

Servers **SHOULD NOT** include MD5 and SHA-1 in `CertificateRequest` messages.

## 4. Server Key Exchange

Servers **MUST NOT** include MD5 and SHA-1 in `ServerKeyExchange` messages. If the client receives a `ServerKeyExchange` message indicating MD5 or SHA-1, then it **MUST** abort the connection with an `illegal_parameter` alert.

## 5. Certificate Verify

Clients **MUST NOT** include MD5 and SHA-1 in `CertificateVerify` messages. If a server receives a `CertificateVerify` message with MD5 or SHA-1, it **MUST** abort the connection with an `illegal_parameter` alert.

## 6. IANA Considerations

IANA has updated the "TLS SignatureScheme" registry by changing the recommended status of SHA-1-based signature schemes to "N" (not recommended), as defined by [RFC8447]. The following entries have been updated; other entries in the registry remain the same.

Value	Description	Recommended	Reference
0x0201	rsa_pkcs1_sha1	N	[RFC8446] [RFC9155]
0x0203	ecdsa_sha1	N	[RFC8446] [RFC9155]

Table 1

IANA has also updated the reference for the "TLS SignatureAlgorithm" and "TLS HashAlgorithm" registries to refer to this document in addition to RFCs 5246 and 8447.

## 7. Security Considerations

Concerns with (D)TLS 1.2 implementations falling back to SHA-1 is an issue. This document updates the TLS 1.2 specification [RFC5246] to deprecate support for MD5 and SHA-1 for digital signatures. However, this document does not deprecate SHA-1 with HMAC, as used in record protection.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.
- [RFC8447] Salowey, J. and S. Turner, "IANA Registry Updates for TLS and DTLS", RFC 8447, DOI 10.17487/RFC8447, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8447>>.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [CABF] CA/Browser Forum, "Ballot 118 -- SHA-1 Sunset (passed)", October 2014, <<https://cabforum.org/2014/10/16/ballot-118-sha-1-sunset/>>.
- [NISTSP800-131A-R2] Barker, E. and A. Roginsky, "Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths", NIST Special Publication 800-131A, Revision 2, DOI 10.6028/NIST.SP.800-131Ar2, March 2019, <<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-131Ar2.pdf>>.
- [RFC6151] Turner, S. and L. Chen, "Updated Security Considerations for the MD5 Message-Digest and the HMAC-MD5 Algorithms", RFC 6151, DOI 10.17487/RFC6151, March 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6151>>.
- [SHA-1-Collision] Stevens, M., Bursztein, E., Karpman, P., Albertini, A., and Y. Markov, "The First Collision for Full SHA-1", 2017, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/190>>.

**[Transcript-Collision]** Bhargavan, K. and G. Leurent, "Transcript Collision Attacks: Breaking Authentication in TLS, IKE, and SSH", DOI 10.14722/ndss.2016.23418, February 2016, <<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01244855/document>>.

**[Wang]** Wang, X., Yin, Y., and H. Yu, "Finding Collisions in the Full SHA-1", DOI 10.1007/11535218\_2, 2005, <<https://www.iacr.org/archive/crypto2005/36210017/36210017.pdf>>.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Hubert Kario for his help in writing the initial draft version of this document. We are also grateful to Daniel Migault, Martin Thomson, Sean Turner, Christopher Wood, and David Cooper for their feedback.

## Authors' Addresses

### Loganaden Velvindron

cyberstorm.mu

Rose Hill

Mauritius

Phone: +230 59762817

Email: [logan@cyberstorm.mu](mailto:logan@cyberstorm.mu)

### Kathleen Moriarty

Center for Internet Security

East Greenbush, NY

United States of America

Email: [Kathleen.Moriartyietf@gmail.com](mailto:Kathleen.Moriartyietf@gmail.com)

### Alessandro Ghedini

Cloudflare Inc.

Email: [alessandro@cloudflare.com](mailto:alessandro@cloudflare.com)